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INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT

Mayor and City Council
City of Taneytown, Maryland

Report on the Financial Statements
We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, and each major fund of the City of Taneytown, Maryland, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements
Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors’ Responsibility
Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors’ judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.
Opinions
In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, and each major fund, of the City of Taneytown, Maryland, as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters
Required Supplementary Information
Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management’s discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information, and pension schedules on pages 3 – 8 and 37 – 43 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards
In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated January 9, 2018, on our consideration of the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

DeLeon & Stang
DeLeon and Stang, CPAs and Advisors
Frederick, Maryland
January 9, 2018
CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Management of the City of Taneytown (“the City”) offers readers the following narrative overview and analysis of the financial statements and activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, which includes a comparison with the prior year.

A feature of this year’s financial picture is the continued implementation of GASB 65 – refunding of debt and GASB 68 – accounting and reporting for pensions. The statement of net position will continue to show deferred outflows and inflows of resources affecting the total net position.

In addition, the City has continued its ongoing program to replace and upgrade deteriorated and substandard infrastructure such as water and sewer.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS:

1. The City’s government-wide net position increased $312,848 in fiscal year 2017 as compared to an increase of $2,801,932 in fiscal year 2016.

2. The assets of the City exceeded its liabilities as of June 30, 2017 as noted below:

   Governmental Activities: $7,627,257 (up $79,425 from last year)
   Business-Type Activities: $14,684,933 (up $475,357 from last year)

   Of the total net position, $9,106,615 is unrestricted; $25,000 is restricted for WWTP loan reserve; and $13,180,575 is invested in capital assets, net of related debt.

3. Total governmental and business-type capital assets, net were $5,688,505 and $22,751,258 respectively.

4. The City’s total liabilities as of June 30, 2017 were $18,768,535 (down $172,937 from last year). Of that amount $15,259,188 are debt obligations. Total long-term liabilities for the City as of June 30, 2017 were $18,053,831 (including the net pension liability of $2,794,643 per GASB 68).

5. As of June 30, 2017, the City’s governmental funds reported a combined ending fund balance of $5,253,754 (down $143,590 from last year). Of that amount $4,833,467 is unassigned and available for spending, $367,907 is committed for Parks – Open Space, and $32,511 is assigned to Public Safety - Asset Forfeitures, and $19,869 is non-spendable due to prepaid health insurance expenses.
6. As of June 30, 2017, the City’s revenues were as follows: General Fund $3,895,643 (up $139,071 or 4% from last year) and Enterprise Fund $3,488,735 (up $520,408 or 18% from last year excluding last year’s capital grants of $3,013,548).

7. As of June 30, 2017, the City’s expenditures were as follows: General Fund $4,039,233 (up $416,210 or 12% from last year) and Enterprise Fund $2,989,358 (up $102,807 or 4% from last year).

8. As of June 30, 2017, the City’s deferred outflows were as follows: Governmental activities $888,625 and Business-type activities $406,931. Within the governmental activities, deferred outflows consisted of the 2017 pension contributions and changes in actuarial assumptions related to the pension plans. In the business-type activities, deferred outflows also included costs associated with debt refunding ($320,571) that are required to be amortized.

9. As of June 30, 2017, the City’s deferred inflows were as follows: Governmental activities $72,425 and Business-type activities $29,571. Within the governmental activities and business-type activities, deferred inflows were identified as the difference between the projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

The discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the City of Taneytown’s basic financial statements. The City of Taneytown’s basic financial statements are comprised of three (3) components: 1) government–wide financial statements; 2) fund financial statements; and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City of Taneytown’s finances, in a manner similar to a Private-sector business.

The statement of net position presents information on all of the City’s assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the government’s net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.
Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the City include general government (legislative, executive, financial administration, legal, planning and zoning, general services), public safety, public works, parks, recreation, and culture, economic development and other charges. The major business-type activities of the City include water and sewer utilities.

The government-wide financial statements include only the City of Taneytown itself (known as the primary government) and are on pages 9 and 10 of this report.

**Fund financial statements.** A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City can be divided into two (2) categories: governmental funds and proprietary funds.

**Governmental funds.** Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government’s near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government’s near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between the two.

The city adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided to demonstrate compliance with this budget on pages 37 – 40 of this report.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages 11 – 13 of this report.

**Proprietary funds.** The City of Taneytown maintains one proprietary, or enterprise, fund. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City of Taneytown operates a Sanitary Sewer and Water Enterprise Fund.
The basic proprietary fund financial statements can be found on pages 14 – 17 of this report.

**Notes to the Financial Statements.** These notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements are on pages 18 – 36 of this report.

**Required supplementary information.** In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain other supplementary information.

With all of the aforementioned stated, please review the following data closely for a detailed accounting of the financial activities and assets of the City of Taneytown.

The following table summarizes revenues and expenses for the governmental activities of the City and totals for the government overall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Governmental Activities</th>
<th>Total Government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating grants and contributions</td>
<td>$397,805</td>
<td>$320,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for services</td>
<td>185,881</td>
<td>211,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital grants and contributions</td>
<td>47,169</td>
<td>37,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>2,761,911</td>
<td>2,917,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernment</td>
<td>345,561</td>
<td>345,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>4,609</td>
<td>17,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>11,437</td>
<td>42,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Income</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL REVENUES</strong></td>
<td>3,756,573</td>
<td>3,895,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROGRAM EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General government</td>
<td>675,740</td>
<td>753,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>1,637,743</td>
<td>1,653,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public works</td>
<td>1,288,063</td>
<td>1,101,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation and culture</td>
<td>273,289</td>
<td>329,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development</td>
<td>121,519</td>
<td>149,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>53,611</td>
<td>50,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business-type activities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,886,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROGRAM EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>4,049,965</td>
<td>6,936,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHANGE IN NET POSITION</strong></td>
<td>($293,392)</td>
<td>($141,649)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

General Fund Budget Variances

During FY 2017, the Mayor and City Council publicly amended the budget at mid-year (Ordinance No. 18-2016) and again at year-end (Ordinance No. 27-2017). At year’s end, actual revenues were $290,077 more than was budgeted. More specifically, local property taxes were $26,393 more than expected as a result of conservative budget estimates, local taxes, licenses and permits were $144,295 more than the budgeted amount, intergovernmental revenues were $8017 more than budgeted from various sources, and $111,372 in service charges, fines and forfeitures, and miscellaneous revenues.

Actual General Fund expenditures were $4,039,233 which is $34,708 more than budgeted.

Budget Fiscal Year 2018

Replacement and upgrades to deteriorated and substandard infrastructure will continue to be a high priority for the City. The City will seek grant funding to the greatest extent possible, when available.

Management will continue an annual analysis and maintenance report/schedule for all public infrastructure so that the City can properly budget and repair all its infrastructure, buildings, vehicles, and equipment. Major equipment purchases and construction projects that will continue or begin in the next fiscal year include:

**General Fund**
- Public Works: Paving of streets, Vehicle replacement (1)
- Public Safety: Police vehicle replacements (2)
- Parks, Recreation, and Culture: Memorial Park sign replacement, parking lot repairs, and playground replacement

**Enterprise Fund**
- Water: Continue infiltration and inflow repairs, water leak repairs, and water billing system replacement
- Sewer: Replacement of clay sewer pipes on Commerce Street, Sewer Jeter replacement, SBR Mixer and float replacement, and Windy Hill lift station panel box and controls replacement

The **General Fund** is expected to experience an increase in property tax receipts a result of the City maintaining its real property tax rate at .37 cent per $100 of assessed value which was not exempt from the constant yield tax rate provision. This rate is higher than the 0.3644 constant yield tax rate and is based on Ordinance No. 05-2017. Property assessments, other than appeals, should remain static in FY 2018 since we are in the three-year assessment cycle.
The City will continue to receive the following grants in the general fund for FY 2018: Highway User Revenue (HUR) Grant, One-time Capital Grant, and State Aid for Police Protection Grant.

Approved budget for fiscal year 2018 General Fund Revenue was $4,438,287 and Expenditures $4,438,287 per Ordinance No. 04-2017.

The Enterprise Fund will see a 5% decrease in water and sewer rates for FY 2018. The new water rate will be $7.42 per every 1,000 gallons and the sewer rate will be at $14.24 per every 1,000 gallons. The rate decrease is based on Ordinance No. 06-2017, due to the effective management of the system, reduction in operational costs, increase in the accuracy of the metering system, and modest growth in the City.

Approved budget for fiscal year 2018 Enterprise Fund Revenue was $2,704,200 and Expenditures of $2,704,200.

Request for more information:

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City of Taneytown’s finances for all those with an interest in the government’s finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed during business hours to:

City Manager  
17 E. Baltimore Street  
Taneytown, MD 21787
### CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND

**Statement of Net Position**

**June 30, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Governmental Activities</th>
<th>Business-type Activities</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$5,047,370</td>
<td>$5,184,422</td>
<td>$10,231,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash</td>
<td>433,672</td>
<td>25,545</td>
<td>459,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes receivable</td>
<td>39,486</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from other agencies</td>
<td>13,317</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from customers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>665,846</td>
<td>665,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other receivables</td>
<td>12,165</td>
<td>(1,725)</td>
<td>10,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>19,869</td>
<td>7,435</td>
<td>27,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>574,472</td>
<td>182,621</td>
<td>757,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and improvements</td>
<td>4,266,629</td>
<td>409,525</td>
<td>4,676,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>2,217,198</td>
<td>1,131,569</td>
<td>3,348,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>5,870,913</td>
<td>34,874,712</td>
<td>40,745,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in progress</td>
<td>125,625</td>
<td>91,288</td>
<td>216,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital assets</td>
<td>13,054,837</td>
<td>36,689,715</td>
<td>49,744,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(7,366,332)</td>
<td>(13,938,457)</td>
<td>(21,304,789)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, net</td>
<td>5,688,505</td>
<td>22,751,258</td>
<td>28,439,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>11,254,384</td>
<td>28,632,781</td>
<td>40,887,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Outflows of Resources</td>
<td>888,625</td>
<td>406,931</td>
<td>1,295,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources</td>
<td>12,143,009</td>
<td>29,039,712</td>
<td>41,182,721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Liabilities                 |                         |                          |           |
| Accounts payable            | 182,416                 | 148,938                  | 331,354   |
| Accrued expenses            | 130,182                 | 189,249                  | 319,431   |
| Developer deposits          | 63,919                  | -                        | 63,919    |
| Noncurrent liabilities:     |                         |                          |           |
| Bonds and loans due within one year | 114,539              | 692,539                  | 807,078   |
| Bonds and loans due in more than one year | 1,371,251            | 13,080,859               | 14,452,110|
| Net pension liability       | 2,581,020               | 213,623                  | 2,794,643 |
| Total Liabilities           | 4,443,327               | 14,325,208               | 18,768,535|
| Deferred Inflows of Resources | 72,425                | 29,571                   | 101,996   |
| Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources | 4,515,752 | 14,354,779 | 18,870,531 |

**Net Position**

| Net investment in capital assets | 4,202,715 | 8,977,860 | 13,180,575 |
| Restricted for specific purposes | -        | 25,000    | 25,000     |
| Unrestricted                     | 3,424,542 | 5,682,073 | 9,106,615  |
| Total Net Position               | $7,627,257 | $14,684,933 | $22,312,190 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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## CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND

**Statement of Activities**

**For the Year Ended June 30, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functions/Programs</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Charges for Services</th>
<th>Operating Grants and Contributions</th>
<th>Capital Grants and Contributions</th>
<th>Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Government</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governmental Activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General government</td>
<td>(753,143)</td>
<td>146,605</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 9,300</td>
<td>$ (597,238) - $ - - (597,238)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>(1,653,518)</td>
<td>25,060</td>
<td>108,161</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,520,297) - - (1,520,297)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public works</td>
<td>(1,101,366)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>211,996</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(889,370) - - (889,370)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, recreation, and culture</td>
<td>(329,829)</td>
<td>39,550</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(290,279) - - (290,279)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development</td>
<td>(149,006)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,694</td>
<td>(120,312) - - (120,312)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense on long-term debt</td>
<td>(50,430)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(50,430) - - (50,430)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Governmental Activities</strong></td>
<td>(4,037,292)</td>
<td>211,215</td>
<td>320,157</td>
<td>37,994</td>
<td>(3,467,926) - - (3,467,926)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business-type Activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility fund</td>
<td>(2,989,358)</td>
<td>3,334,866</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300,628 - - 300,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Primary Government</strong></td>
<td>$ (7,026,650)</td>
<td>$ 3,546,081</td>
<td>$ 320,157</td>
<td>$ (6,886)</td>
<td>(3,467,926) - 300,628 - (3,167,298)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,252,247</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,252,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>661,097</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>661,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other local taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,613</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County tax distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>345,727</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>345,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,150</td>
<td>112,512</td>
<td>114,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,927</td>
<td>32,848</td>
<td>50,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42,516</td>
<td>8,509</td>
<td>51,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total general revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,326,277</td>
<td>153,869</td>
<td>3,480,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in net position</strong></td>
<td>(141,649)</td>
<td></td>
<td>454,497</td>
<td>312,848</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position, beginning of year - restated</strong></td>
<td>7,768,906</td>
<td>14,230,436</td>
<td>21,999,342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position, end of year</strong></td>
<td>$ 7,627,257</td>
<td>$ 14,684,933</td>
<td>$ 22,312,190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Page 10
### CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
**Balance Sheet**
**Governmental Fund**
**June 30, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Total Governmental Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$ 5,047,370</td>
<td>$ 5,047,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash</td>
<td>433,672</td>
<td>433,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes receivable</td>
<td>39,486</td>
<td>39,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from other agencies</td>
<td>13,317</td>
<td>13,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other receivables - due from developers</td>
<td>12,165</td>
<td>12,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>19,869</td>
<td>19,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>$ 5,565,879</td>
<td>$ 5,565,879</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                         |                |                         |
| **Liabilities**         |                |                         |
| Accounts payable        | $ 182,416      | $ 182,416               |
| Accrued salaries        | 65,790         | 65,790                  |
| Developer deposits      | 63,919         | 63,919                  |
| **Total Liabilities**   | 312,125        | 312,125                 |

|                         |                |                         |
| **Fund Balance**        |                |                         |
| Nonspendable            | 19,869         | 19,869                  |
| Restricted              | -              | -                       |
| Committed               | 367,907        | 367,907                 |
| Assigned                | 32,511         | 32,511                  |
| Unassigned              | 4,833,467      | 4,833,467               |
| **Total Fund Balance**  | 5,253,754      | 5,253,754               |

|                         |                |                         |
| **Total Liabilities and Fund Balance** | $ 5,565,879 | $ 5,565,879 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND  
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance  
Governmental Fund  
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Total Governmental Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local property taxes</td>
<td>$2,252,247</td>
<td>$2,252,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local income taxes</td>
<td>661,097</td>
<td>661,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other local taxes</td>
<td>4,613</td>
<td>4,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses and permits</td>
<td>103,730</td>
<td>103,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental revenues</td>
<td>703,878</td>
<td>703,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service charges</td>
<td>65,117</td>
<td>65,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines and forfeitures</td>
<td>42,368</td>
<td>42,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous revenues</td>
<td>62,593</td>
<td>62,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,895,643</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,895,643</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Total Governmental Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General government</td>
<td>687,976</td>
<td>687,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>1,527,630</td>
<td>1,527,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public works</td>
<td>1,142,500</td>
<td>1,142,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, recreation, and culture</td>
<td>376,868</td>
<td>376,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development</td>
<td>143,918</td>
<td>143,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt service</td>
<td>160,341</td>
<td>160,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,039,233</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,039,233</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deficiency of Revenues over Expenditures | (143,590) | (143,590) |

Fund Balance - July 1, 2016 | 5,397,344 |
**Fund Balance - June 30, 2017** | **$5,253,754** |

**Adjustments for the Statement of Activities**
- Capital outlay | 521,128 |
- Depreciation expense | (394,842) |
- Debt service expenditures | 109,119 |
- Change in accrued interest | 792 |
- Compensated absences | 16,794 |
- Loss on disposal | (7,329) |
- Net pension adjustment | (243,721) |

Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities | $ (141,649) |
Fund balance - governmental fund $ 5,253,754

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, net</td>
<td>5,688,505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred outflows of resources 888,625

Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest payable</td>
<td>(13,052)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated absences</td>
<td>(51,340)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>(1,485,790)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net pension liability</td>
<td>(2,581,020)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred inflows of resources (72,425)

Net position - governmental activities $ 7,627,257
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utility Fund</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proprietary Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$5,184,422</td>
<td>$5,184,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash</td>
<td>25,545</td>
<td>25,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from customers</td>
<td>665,846</td>
<td>665,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other receivables</td>
<td>(1,725)</td>
<td>(1,725)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>7,435</td>
<td>7,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Current Assets</td>
<td>5,881,523</td>
<td>5,881,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>182,621</td>
<td>182,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>34,874,712</td>
<td>34,874,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and improvements</td>
<td>409,525</td>
<td>409,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>1,131,569</td>
<td>1,131,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in progress</td>
<td>91,288</td>
<td>91,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Assets</td>
<td>36,689,715</td>
<td>36,689,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(13,938,457)</td>
<td>(13,938,457)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Assets, net</td>
<td>22,751,258</td>
<td>22,751,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>28,632,781</td>
<td>28,632,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred Outflows of Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>406,931</td>
<td>406,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources</td>
<td>29,039,712</td>
<td>29,039,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>148,938</td>
<td>148,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses</td>
<td>189,249</td>
<td>189,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of bond and loans payable</td>
<td>692,539</td>
<td>692,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Current Liabilities</td>
<td>1,030,726</td>
<td>1,030,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncurrent Liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncurrent portion of bond and loans payable</td>
<td>13,080,859</td>
<td>13,080,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net pension liability</td>
<td>213,623</td>
<td>213,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Noncurrent Liabilities</td>
<td>13,294,482</td>
<td>13,294,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>14,325,208</td>
<td>14,325,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred Inflows of Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29,571</td>
<td>29,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources</td>
<td>14,354,779</td>
<td>14,354,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment in capital assets</td>
<td>8,977,860</td>
<td>8,977,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for specific purposes</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>5,682,073</td>
<td>5,682,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Net Position</td>
<td>$14,684,933</td>
<td>$14,684,933</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Revenues</th>
<th>Utility Fund</th>
<th>Proprietary Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charges for services</td>
<td>$ 3,334,866</td>
<td>$ 3,334,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental income</td>
<td>112,512</td>
<td>112,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous income</td>
<td>8,509</td>
<td>8,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,455,887</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,455,887</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Expenses</th>
<th>Utility Fund</th>
<th>Proprietary Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>491,706</td>
<td>491,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional fees</td>
<td>166,148</td>
<td>166,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat, light, and power</td>
<td>219,685</td>
<td>219,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits</td>
<td>173,308</td>
<td>173,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>165,652</td>
<td>165,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>1,216,182</td>
<td>1,216,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>36,104</td>
<td>36,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>60,218</td>
<td>60,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>16,563</td>
<td>16,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,546,633</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,546,633</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Operating Income | | |
|------------------| | |
| | 909,254 | 909,254 |

| Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) | | |
|---------------------------------| | |
| Interest income | 32,848 | 32,848 |
| Interest expense and fiscal agent fees | (442,725) | (442,725) |
| **Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)** | (409,877) | (409,877) |

| Net income before capital grants | | |
|---------------------------------| | |
| | 499,377 | 499,377 |

| Capital grants | | |
|----------------| | |
| | (44,880) | (44,880) |

| Change in Net Position | | |
|-----------------------| | |
| | 454,497 | 454,497 |

| Total Net Position, beginning of year , restated | | |
|-------------------------------------------------| | |
| | 14,230,436 | 14,230,436 |

| Total Net Position, end of year | | |
|---------------------------------| | |
| **$ 14,684,933** | **$ 14,684,933** |
CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
Statement of Cash Flows
Proprietary Fund
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Business-type Activities -
Enterprise Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility Fund</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash receipts from customers</td>
<td>$ 3,428,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash receipts from rental income</td>
<td>112,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash receipts - miscellaneous</td>
<td>3,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services</td>
<td>(734,463)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash payments to employees for services</td>
<td>(470,740)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash provided by operating activities</strong></td>
<td>2,338,415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities** |          |
| Principal paid on loans payable | (678,326) |
| Acquisition and construction of capital assets | (80,651) |
| Proceeds on sale of capital assets | 1,702 |
| Interest paid on capital debt | (420,512) |
| Capital grant | 634,586 |
| **Net cash used in capital and related financing activities** | (543,200) |

| **Cash Flows from Investing Activities** |          |
| Withdrawal of funds held by custodian | 96,467 |
| Interest income | 32,848 |
| **Net cash provided by investing activities** | 129,315 |

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,924,530 |

| **Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year** | 3,285,437 |
| **Cash and cash equivalents - end of year** | $ 5,209,967 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
Statement of Cash Flows
Proprietary Fund
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash provided by Operating Activities</th>
<th>Utility Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating income</td>
<td>$ 909,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>1,216,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of premium</td>
<td>(3,743)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on disposal of capital asset</td>
<td>(1,702)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net changes in operating assets and liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer receivables</td>
<td>93,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other receivables</td>
<td>(383)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>2,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>100,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred outflows</td>
<td>(32,029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred inflows</td>
<td>27,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses</td>
<td>10,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net pension liability</td>
<td>16,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>$ 2,338,415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-cash & non-operating expense:

| Amortization of debt refinancing cost                                          | $ 29,143     |
NOTE 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial Reporting Entity

The City of Taneytown, Maryland (the City) was incorporated in 1884, pursuant to authority of Article XIE of the Constitution of Maryland and Article 23A of the Annotated Code of Maryland. The City operates under a Council-Mayor form of government and provides the following services as authorized by its charter: public safety, highways and streets, sanitation, health and social services, culture-recreation, public improvements, planning and zoning and general administrative services. The accompanying basic financial statements conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the reporting entity include (1) the primary government, (2) organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable and (3) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity’s financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The criteria provided in Governmental Accounting Standards Board Codification Section 2100 have been considered and there are no agencies or entities which should be presented with the City.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. Governments are also required to follow the pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued through November 30, 1989 (when applicable) that do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 62, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities that use Proprietary Fund Accounting, the City has elected not to apply non-GASB pronouncements issued on or after November 30, 1989.

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The City’s basic financial statements include both government-wide (based on the City as a whole) and fund financial statements (reporting the City’s major funds). Both the government-wide and fund financial statements categorize primary activities as either governmental or business-type. The City’s public safety, public works, recreation and culture, economic development, debt service and general government services are classified as governmental activities. The City’s water and sewer services are classified as business-type activities.
NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The statement of net position presents the financial condition of the governmental and business-type activities of the City at year-end. The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each program or function of the City’s governmental activities and for business-type activities of the City. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program, or department and therefore clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipient for the goods and services offered by the program, grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program, and interest earned on grants that is required to be used to support a particular program.

Revenues which are not classified as program revenues are presented as general revenues of the City, with certain limited exceptions. The comparison of direct expenses with program revenues identifies the extent to which each governmental program or business activity is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of the City.

In the fund financial statements, financial transactions and accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds. The operation of each fund is considered to be an independent fiscal and separate accounting entity, with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting segregates funds according to their intended purpose and is used to aid management in demonstrating compliance with finance-related legal and managerial requirements.

The following is a brief description of the specific funds used by the City for the year ended June 30, 2017:

**Governmental Fund Types**

General Fund – This fund is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The General Fund accounts for the normal recurring activities of the City (i.e., public safety, public works, parks and recreation, and general government activities, etc.). These activities are funded principally by property and income taxes on individuals and businesses, and grants from other governmental units.

**Proprietary Fund Types**

Enterprise Fund – The Utility fund accounts for the revenues generated from the charges for distribution of water and charges for sewer services provided to residential and commercial users of the City.
NOTE 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

**Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation**

The government-wide statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year in which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met. This approach differs from the manner in which governmental fund financial statements are prepared. Therefore, governmental fund financial statements include a reconciliation with brief explanations to better identify the relationship between the government-wide statements and the statements for the governmental fund.

Net position is reported as restricted when constraints placed on net position use are externally imposed by grantors or contributors. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City’s policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed. The city has $25,000 of net position restricted by debt covenants.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be "available" when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. The government considers all revenues as available if they are collected within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures generally are recorded when the related liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

Business-type activities and all proprietary funds are accounted for on a flow of economic resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, all assets and all liabilities associated with the operation of these funds are included on the balance sheet. Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund’s principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City’s enterprise fund are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.
NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the City considers cash on hand, demand deposits, and all highly liquid investments and debt instruments with maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition to be cash and cash equivalents. In some instances, cash resources of the individual funds are combined to form a pool of cash and investments. Investments are carried at market value in all funds. Investment income earned as a result of pooling is distributed to the appropriate funds based on the average balance of cash and investments of each fund.

Prepaid Items

Payments made to vendors for services that will benefit periods beyond the fiscal year-end are recorded as prepaid items. Prepaid items are equally offset by a fund balance reserve which indicates that they do not constitute “available spendable resources” even though they are a component of net current assets.

Receivables

Significant receivables include amounts from customers for utility services and various taxes. These receivables are due within one year. For the year ended June 30, 2017, the City had an allowance for personal property taxes receivable of $25,265.

Short-term Interfund Receivables/Payables

During the course of operations, numerous transactions occur between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered. These receivables and payables are classified as “due from other funds” or “due to other funds” on the balance sheet. Short-term interfund loans are classified as “interfund receivables/payables.”

Capital Assets

Capital assets are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined as assets with an estimated useful life in excess of one year and a cost of $5,000 or more. Capital assets purchased or acquired are recorded at cost or, if donated, at the estimated fair market value upon receipt. Depreciation of property and equipment is computed on the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes.
NOTE 1 - **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

Depreciation is provided for over the following estimated useful lives of the assets:

- Infrastructure: 25 – 50 years
- Buildings and Improvements: 20 – 40 years
- Equipment: 5 – 10 years

**Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The City prepares an annual operating budget for the General Fund and Utility Fund on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Budgeted amounts are subject to amendment during the fiscal year.

**Compensated Absences**

Full-time employees are eligible for paid annual leave, and all leave time must be earned before being taken. The amount employees accrue is based on their number of continuous service years. Employees will receive all of their unused earned vacation leave upon termination. Vacation leave can be carried over to subsequent calendar years, with a maximum of 80 hours. Employees who do not use their accrued leave by the end of the calendar year shall forfeit all annual leave in excess of 80 hours.

Full-time employees shall accrue four hours of sick leave per pay period. There is no maximum of sick leave that may be carried over to subsequent years. When leaving the employment of the City, the amount of accrued sick leave shall be applied to the employee’s years of service under the formula and parameters as provided by the State Retirement System.

The vested annual leave is expensed as incurred in the appropriate funds.

**Fund Balance**

The City has implemented GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, for its governmental funds. Under GASB Statement No. 54, fund balances are required to be reported according to the following classifications:

- **Nonspendable fund balance** – Includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form, or, for legal or contractual reasons, must be kept intact. This classification includes inventories, prepaid amounts, assets held for sale, and long-term receivables
NOTE 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Restricted fund balance – Constraints placed on the use of these resources are either externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors or other governments; or are imposed by law (through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation).

Committed fund balance – Amounts that can only be used for specific purposes because of a formal action (resolution or ordinance) by the City’s highest level of decision-making authority, the Mayor and Council. The City’s committed fund balance consists of open space / park impact fees as of June 30, 2017.

Assigned fund balance – Amounts that are constrained by the City’s intent to be used for specific purposes, but that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. Intent can be stipulated by the Mayor and Council. With the exception of the General Fund, this is the residual fund balance classification for all governmental funds with positive balances. The amount of assigned funds is related to police asset forfeitures and the remaining lease obligations on a non-cancelable capital lease.

Unassigned fund balance – This is the residual classification of the General Fund. Only the General Fund reports a positive unassigned fund balance. Other governmental funds might report a negative balance in this classification, as the result of overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed or assigned.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City’s policy to use externally restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources – committed, assigned, and unassigned – in order as needed.

Net Position

Net position represents the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. Net investment in capital assets consists of cost of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowing used for the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets, plus any proceeds remaining in cash and investments. Net position is reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on its use either through the enabling legislation adopted by the City or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments.
NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Interfund Transactions

Quasi-external transactions are accounted for as revenues or expenditures. Transactions that constitute reimbursements to a fund for expenditures initially made from it that are properly applicable to another fund are recorded as expenditures in the reimbursing fund and as reductions of expenditures in the fund that is reimbursed. All other interfund transactions, except quasi-external transactions and reimbursements, are reported as transfers.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of basic financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the basic financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 DEPOSITS WITH FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INVESTMENTS

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. Custodial credit risk for investments exists when, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, a government may be unable to recover the value of investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. As of year-end, the total amount of the City’s bank balances were $10,859,420, the carrying amount of deposits was $10,690,659, and cash on hand was $350.

The City maintains deposits at two financial institutions. As of June 30, 2017, all deposits were covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and securities held by the pledging financial institution’s trust department in the City’s name.

The City’s cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2017, reconciled to the total presented in the Statement of Net Position, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Governmental Activities</th>
<th>Business-Type Activities</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash on hand</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank deposits</td>
<td>5,480,692</td>
<td>5,209,967</td>
<td>10,690,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,481,042</td>
<td>$5,209,967</td>
<td>$10,691,009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NOTE 2  DEPOSITS WITH FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INVESTMENTS
(Continued)

Credit Risk

Credit risk is defined as the risk that an issuer or other counterparts to an
investment in debt securities will not fulfill its obligation.

The City shall invest only in the following types of securities, which are
authorized by the State:

- Obligations of U.S. governmental agencies
- Fully collateralized certificates of deposit
- Bankers acceptances with the highest quality letter and numerical rating
- Municipal securities with the highest quality letter and numerical rating
- Money market mutual funds containing securities of U.S. governmental
  agencies
- Local Government Investment Pool administered by the State Treasurer

Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a
government’s investment in a single issuer, not applicable to investments issued
or explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government, investments in mutual funds and
external investment pools or other pooled investments.

Restricted Cash

Cash is restricted for the following purposes as of June 30, 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governmental Activities</th>
<th>Business-Type Activities</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developer deposits</td>
<td>$65,765</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open space/park impact</td>
<td>367,907</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan reserve fund</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$433,672</td>
<td>$25,545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 3  PROPERTY TAXES

The City's property taxes are levied each July 1 at rates enacted by the Mayor and
Council on the total assessed value as determined by the Maryland State
Department of Assessments and Taxation.
NOTE 3  PROPERTY TAXES (Continued)

Property taxes become delinquent on October 1. Interest accrues at 0.5% monthly for delinquent property taxes. Total assessable base on which levies were made for the year ended June 30, 2017, was $572,332,679 and the property tax rate was $0.37 per $100 of assessed value.

NOTE 4  CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2017 was as follows:

Primary Government:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governmental Activities:</th>
<th>Balance July 1, 2016</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Dispositions</th>
<th>Transfers</th>
<th>Balance June 30, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, not depreciated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$565,726</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$8,746</td>
<td>$574,472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in progress</td>
<td>148,359</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(23,528)</td>
<td>125,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital assets, not depreciated</td>
<td>714,085</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(14,782)</td>
<td>700,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, depreciated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and improvements</td>
<td>4,266,629</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,266,629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>2,048,984</td>
<td>297,169</td>
<td>(128,956)</td>
<td>2,217,197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>5,633,063</td>
<td>228,462</td>
<td>(94)</td>
<td>5,870,914</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital assets, depreciated</td>
<td>11,948,676</td>
<td>525,631</td>
<td>(129,050)</td>
<td>12,354,740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(7,093,211)</td>
<td>(394,842)</td>
<td>121,721</td>
<td>(7,366,332)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental activities -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, net</td>
<td>$5,569,550</td>
<td>$131,583</td>
<td>($7,329)</td>
<td>($5,299)</td>
<td>$5,688,505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depreciation expense was charged to each function as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General government</td>
<td>$55,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>80,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public works</td>
<td>167,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, recreation, and culture</td>
<td>90,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$394,842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NOTE 4  CAPITAL ASSETS  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business-type Activities</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Dispositions</th>
<th>Transfers</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, not depreciated</td>
<td>July 1, 2016</td>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>Dispositions</td>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>June 30, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$182,621</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$182,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in progress</td>
<td>41,852</td>
<td>67,834</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(18,398)</td>
<td>91,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital assets, not depreciated</td>
<td>224,473</td>
<td>67,834</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(18,398)</td>
<td>273,909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capital assets, depreciated

| Buildings and improvements | 409,525 | - | - | - | 409,525 |
| Machinery and equipment | 1,143,140 | 31,214 | (42,786) | - | 1,131,568 |
| Infrastructure | 34,874,712 | - | - | - | 34,874,712 |
| Total capital assets, depreciated | 36,427,377 | 31,214 | (42,786) | - | 36,415,805 |

Accumulated depreciation | (12,765,060) | (1,216,182) | 42,786 | - | (13,938,456) |

Business-type activities

| Capital assets, net | $23,886,790 | $ (1,117,134) | - | $ (18,398) | $ 22,751,258 |

Depreciation expense was charged to each function as follows:

- Water services $387,349
- Sewer services $828,833

Total: $1,216,182
NOTE 5 DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Debt outstanding as of June 30, 2017 is as follows for:

Governmental Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$400,000 Infrastructure Financing Bonds - 2004 Series A; Due in annual</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>$283,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>principal installments of $7,600 - $23,600 plus semi annual interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payments. The bonds mature on May 1, 2034.</td>
<td>4.6% Avg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$436,698 2012 Refunding of the General Obligation Bond, Series 2009; Due</td>
<td>4.24%</td>
<td>339,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in annual principal installments of $17,909 - $35,297 plus semiannual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interest payments. The bonds mature on March 15, 2029.</td>
<td>4.24%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$894,783 General Obligations Bonds, 2011 Series A; Due in annual principal installments of $33,000 - $138,000, plus semi annual interest payments. The bonds mature on December 1, 2021.</td>
<td>2.55%</td>
<td>710,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$333,000 General Obligation Bond, 2011 Series B; Due in annual principal installments of $34,000 - $39,000 plus semi annual interest payments. The bond matures on November 1, 2020.</td>
<td>2.50%</td>
<td>152,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total governmental activities</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,485,790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NOTE 5  DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5,270,995 Water Quality Bond, Series 1998; semiannual interest payments due and annual principal payments of $226,956 - $331,322; annual administrative fee of $16,191; bond matures on February 1, 2019.</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
<td>$560,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$552,110 Water Quality Bond, Series 2001; semiannual interest payments and annual principal payments of $23,386 - $31,604; annual administrative fee of $1,515; bond matures on February 1, 2021.</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
<td>94,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,186,279 General Obligation Bonds, 2011 Series A; Due in annual principal installments of $33,000 - $138,000 plus semiannual interest payments. The bonds mature on December 1, 2021.</td>
<td>2.55%</td>
<td>1,077,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,206,000 loan for Baltimore Street Water Main Extension; semiannual interest payments and annual principal payments of $134,843 - $208,749; annual administrative fee of $10,323; loan matures on February 1, 2029.</td>
<td>2.50%</td>
<td>2,194,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,253,000 General Obligation Bonds, York Street Sanitary Sewer Improvements Project, 2012 Series (USDA); Due in quarterly principal and interest installments of $20,503. The bonds mature on June 28, 2052.</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2,057,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$805,000 Drinking Water Bond, 2012 Series; Due in annual principal installments of $39,350 - $45,419 plus semi-annual interest payments. The bond matures on February 1, 2032.</td>
<td>0.80%</td>
<td>644,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6,356,974 Infrastructure Financing Bonds, 2014 Series A-1; Due in annual principal installments of $111,974 - $590,000, starting in 2019, plus semiannual interest payments. The bonds mature on May 1, 2032. Includes unamortized bond premium of $56,151.</td>
<td>3.48% Avg</td>
<td>6,413,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$826,713 Infrastructure Financing Bonds, 2014 Series A-2; Due in annual principal installments of $26,187 - $56,000 plus semiannual interest payments. The bonds mature on May 1, 2034.</td>
<td>3.54% Avg</td>
<td>731,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total business-type activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$13,773,398</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Debt service requirements are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest &amp; Fees</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$807,078</td>
<td>$454,164</td>
<td>$1,261,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>827,880</td>
<td>435,360</td>
<td>1,263,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>853,764</td>
<td>400,571</td>
<td>1,254,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>883,359</td>
<td>381,789</td>
<td>1,265,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>871,360</td>
<td>359,874</td>
<td>1,231,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023-2027</td>
<td>4,725,474</td>
<td>1,440,907</td>
<td>6,166,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028-2032</td>
<td>4,650,985</td>
<td>678,376</td>
<td>5,329,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033-2037</td>
<td>525,062</td>
<td>134,731</td>
<td>659,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2038-2042</td>
<td>318,774</td>
<td>91,286</td>
<td>410,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2043-2047</td>
<td>352,270</td>
<td>57,790</td>
<td>410,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048-2052</td>
<td>387,031</td>
<td>22,812</td>
<td>409,843</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 5  DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Changes in Non-Current Liabilities

During the year ended June 30, 2017, the following changes in debt obligations occurred:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Description</th>
<th>July 1, 2016</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Reductions</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>Due within</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonds 2004, Series A</td>
<td>$294,700</td>
<td>-$</td>
<td>$(10,900)</td>
<td>$283,800</td>
<td>$11,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds 2012 Refunding</td>
<td>360,272</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(21,220)</td>
<td>339,052</td>
<td>22,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds 2011, Series B</td>
<td>190,938</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(38,000)</td>
<td>152,938</td>
<td>44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds 2011, Series A</td>
<td>1,880,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(93,000)</td>
<td>1,787,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA 2012</td>
<td>2,098,257</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(40,723)</td>
<td>2,057,534</td>
<td>41,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWQFA WTP/BNR upgrade</td>
<td>883,993</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(323,715)</td>
<td>560,278</td>
<td>331,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer interceptor bond</td>
<td>124,273</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(29,870)</td>
<td>94,403</td>
<td>30,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore St. Water Main Ext.</td>
<td>2,350,047</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(155,216)</td>
<td>2,194,831</td>
<td>159,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking Water Bond</td>
<td>685,003</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(40,302)</td>
<td>644,701</td>
<td>40,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Series A-1 Bonds</td>
<td>6,356,974</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(34,500)</td>
<td>6,322,474</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Series A-2 Bonds</td>
<td>766,026</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(34,500)</td>
<td>731,526</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total debt obligations</strong></td>
<td>$15,990,483</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$(787,446)</td>
<td>$15,203,037</td>
<td>$807,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortized bond premium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total carrying value of debt obligations</strong></td>
<td>$15,259,188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of June 30, 2017, the current debt obligations are recorded as follows:

- **Governmental activities** $114,539
- **Business-type activities** $692,539
- **Total current obligations** $807,078

**Interest Expense**

For the year ended June 30, 2017, total interest costs were $590,950 and reported as follows: interest expense of $50,430 for governmental activities and $442,725 for business-type activities. Cash paid for interest for business-type activities was $420,512.
NOTE 6  PENSION BENEFITS

Cost-sharing Multiple Employer Pension Plan:

Plan description
All permanent employees of the City who are expected to work at least 500 hours in the fiscal year are provided retirement benefits through the Maryland State Retirement and Pension System through two separate plans: Employee’s Contributory Pension System (“Pension System”) and the Law Enforcement Officers Pension System (LEOPS).

The Pension System is a defined benefit plan and multiple employer system. The plan involves state-wide participation of employees from numerous other state and local governmental units. The system is administered by the Maryland State Retirement Agency pursuant to the Annotated Code of Maryland.

Under the Pension System, members may retire after 30 years of service or the attainment of age 62 with five years of service, age 63 with four years of service, age 64 with three years of service or age 65 or over with two years of service.

LEOPS provides retirement allowances and other benefits for State and local law enforcement officers. This system includes both retirement plan and pension plan provisions which are applicable to separate portions of the State System’s membership. The retirement plan provisions are applicable to those members who, on the date they elected to participate in LEOPS, were members of the Employees’ Retirement System. The State System’s pension plan provisions are applicable to all other participating law enforcement officers.

A member of LEOPS is eligible for full retirement benefits upon the earlier of attaining age 50 or accumulating 25 years of eligibility service regardless of age.

The Maryland State Retirement and Pension System does not prepare or maintain separate actuarial reports for the participating governmental units. The Maryland State Retirement and Pension System issues a comprehensive annual financial report. The report can be obtained from the agency’s offices at:

Maryland State Retirement and Pension Systems  
120 East Baltimore Street  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Funding policy

Obligations to contribute to the plans were established under Titles 22 and 23 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article of the Maryland Code.
NOTE 6  PENSION BENEFITS (Continued)

Members of the Pension System contribute 2% of their gross employee compensation and members of LEOPS contribute 7%. The total contributions from the employees for the fiscal years ended June 30 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$ 64,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>63,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>60,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>58,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>55,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>49,335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The City also contributed to the System, in amounts equal to 100% of the required contributions for both Plans. The amounts contributed for the years ended June 30 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$234,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>230,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>232,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>217,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>188,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>216,705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total pension expense for the year ended June 30, 2017 was $489,140; $443,001 for governmental activities and $46,139 for business-type activities.

**Key actuarial methods and assumptions:**

- Actuarial Entry Age Normal
- Amortization method Level percentage of payroll, closed
- Inflation 2.75% general, 3.2% wage
- Salary increases 3.30% to 9.2% including inflation
- Discount rate 7.55%
- Investment rate of return 7.55%
- Mortality RP-2014 Mortality tables
- Actuarial valuation date June 30, 2016
NOTE 6  PENSION BENEFITS (Continued)

Discount rate

A single discount rate of 7.55% was used to measure the total pension liability. The single discount rate was based on the expected rate of return on pension plan investments of 7.55%. The projection of cash flows used to determine this single discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at rates equal to the difference between actuarially determined contribution rates and the member rate. Based on these assumptions, the pension plan’s fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the net pension liability

Regarding the sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the single discount rate, the following presents the plan’s net pension liability, calculated using a single discount rate of 7.55%, as well as what the plan’s net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a single discount rate of 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>1% decrease</th>
<th>NPL at 7.55%</th>
<th>1% increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees' Pension</td>
<td>$1,025,976</td>
<td>$773,002</td>
<td>$559,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEOPS</td>
<td>$2,706,341</td>
<td>$2,021,646</td>
<td>$1,448,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,732,317</td>
<td>$2,794,648</td>
<td>$2,008,337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NOTE 7  DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to the following sources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deferred Outflows of Resources</th>
<th>Deferred Inflows of Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changes of pension assumptions</td>
<td>$85,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments</td>
<td>655,582 101,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City contributions subsequent to the measurement date</td>
<td>234,205 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other deferred outflows:</td>
<td>974,985 $101,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortized cost related to debt refunding</td>
<td>320,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,295,556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred outflows of resources of $234,205 related to pensions resulting from City contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2018.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows for the years ending June 30:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$151,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>151,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>183,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>134,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>17,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$638,784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 8  GRANTS FROM GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

Federal and state governmental units represent an important source of supplementary funding used to finance construction, maintenance, employment programs, and other activities beneficial to the community. The grants received by the City specify the purpose for which the grant funds are to be used, and such grants are subject to audit by the granting agency or its representative if Federal expenditures for the fiscal year exceed $750,000. The City’s federal grant expenditures were less than $750,000; therefore, they were not subject to the Single Audit Act for fiscal year 2017.
NOTE 9  LEASE OBLIGATIONS

In October 2013, the City entered into a non-cancelable operating lease for equipment. The lease requires monthly lease payments of $934. The lease term ends in January 2019. Future lease payments are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$11,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>6,538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 10  COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. The amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time although it is believed by management that such disallowances will be immaterial.

NOTE 11  RISK MANAGEMENT

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The City purchases commercial insurance coverage for these types of losses, including worker’s compensation and employee health and accident insurance. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

NOTE 12  PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT

Deferred outflows of resources relating to changes in the City's proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability of the Maryland State Retirement and Pension System were inadvertently omitted for the year ended June 30, 2016 (based on the application of paragraph 54 of GASB No. 68).

The effect on the currently presented financial statements is a restatement of the beginning net position of both governmental and business-type activities, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Governmental Activities</th>
<th>Business-Type Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net position, beginning of year</td>
<td>$7,547,832</td>
<td>$14,209,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in proportionate share of NPL</td>
<td>221,074</td>
<td>20,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net position, beginning of year - restated</td>
<td>$7,768,906</td>
<td>$14,230,436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 13  SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events are defined as events or transactions that occur after the Statement of Net Position date through the date that the financial statements are available to be issued. The City performed an evaluation as of January 9, 2018, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. There were no subsequent events that required recording or disclosure in these financial statements.
## Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
### Budget to Actual - General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2017</th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Final Appropriated Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Property Taxes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real property</td>
<td>$2,078,924</td>
<td>$2,078,924</td>
<td>$2,116,681</td>
<td>$37,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal property</td>
<td>132,530</td>
<td>132,530</td>
<td>120,305</td>
<td>(12,225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalties and interest</td>
<td>14,400</td>
<td>14,400</td>
<td>15,261</td>
<td>861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Local Property Taxes</strong></td>
<td>2,225,854</td>
<td>2,225,854</td>
<td>2,252,247</td>
<td>26,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Income Taxes</strong></td>
<td>528,810</td>
<td>528,810</td>
<td>661,097</td>
<td>132,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Local Taxes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions and amusement taxes</td>
<td>6,505</td>
<td>6,505</td>
<td>4,613</td>
<td>(1,892)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Local Taxes</strong></td>
<td>6,505</td>
<td>6,505</td>
<td>4,613</td>
<td>(1,892)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Licenses and Permits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>2,390</td>
<td>2,390</td>
<td>2,335</td>
<td>(55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trader's licenses</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>11,851</td>
<td>(1,149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building permits</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>(464)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable franchise fees</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>87,718</td>
<td>15,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Licenses and Permits</strong></td>
<td>89,830</td>
<td>89,830</td>
<td>103,730</td>
<td>13,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intergovernmental Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State aid for police protection</td>
<td>108,757</td>
<td>108,757</td>
<td>104,393</td>
<td>(4,364)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway user revenues</td>
<td>224,020</td>
<td>224,020</td>
<td>211,996</td>
<td>(12,024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County tax differential</td>
<td>345,046</td>
<td>345,046</td>
<td>340,971</td>
<td>(4,075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial corporations</td>
<td>1,282</td>
<td>1,282</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,282)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road levy</td>
<td>4,756</td>
<td>4,756</td>
<td>4,756</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State grants</td>
<td>43,500</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>41,762 29,762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Intergovernmental Revenues</strong></td>
<td>727,361</td>
<td>695,861</td>
<td>703,878</td>
<td>8,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service Charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning fees</td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>2,317</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park impact fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32,875</td>
<td>32,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-refundable developer fees</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>(1,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety charges</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>11,335</td>
<td>1,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public parking facilities</td>
<td>6,710</td>
<td>6,710</td>
<td>7,565</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation charges</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>6,675</td>
<td>(325)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1,850</td>
<td>1,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Service Charges</strong></td>
<td>29,438</td>
<td>29,438</td>
<td>65,117</td>
<td>35,679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
## Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

**CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND**

**Budget to Actual - General Fund**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2017</th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Final Appropriated Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (Negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fines and Forfeitures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking and code enforcement fines</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>42,368</td>
<td>20,368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fines and Forfeitures</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>42,368</td>
<td>20,368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>2,068</td>
<td>2,068</td>
<td>17,927</td>
<td>15,859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental income</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,150</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions and donations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of property (other than tax sale)</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,550</td>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38,866</td>
<td>38,866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Miscellaneous Revenues</td>
<td>7,268</td>
<td>7,268</td>
<td>62,593</td>
<td>55,325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,637,066</td>
<td>3,605,566</td>
<td>3,895,643</td>
<td>290,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Government</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor and Council salaries</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>2,080</td>
<td>2,080</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td>654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>15,880</td>
<td>15,880</td>
<td>15,226</td>
<td>654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>15,415</td>
<td>15,415</td>
<td>24,479</td>
<td>(9,064)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Legislative</td>
<td>31,295</td>
<td>31,295</td>
<td>39,705</td>
<td>(8,410)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Executive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Manager salaries</td>
<td>52,571</td>
<td>52,571</td>
<td>62,539</td>
<td>(9,968)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>6,849</td>
<td>6,849</td>
<td>8,091</td>
<td>(1,242)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>59,420</td>
<td>59,420</td>
<td>70,630</td>
<td>(11,210)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>9,592</td>
<td>9,592</td>
<td>13,707</td>
<td>(4,115)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Executive</td>
<td>69,012</td>
<td>69,012</td>
<td>84,337</td>
<td>(15,325)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Administration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance salaries</td>
<td>53,797</td>
<td>79,384</td>
<td>73,130</td>
<td>6,254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk salaries</td>
<td>39,296</td>
<td>45,362</td>
<td>39,446</td>
<td>5,916</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>31,630</td>
<td>31,630</td>
<td>38,286</td>
<td>(6,656)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>124,723</td>
<td>156,376</td>
<td>150,862</td>
<td>5,514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent accounting and auditing</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>20,395</td>
<td>3,605</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>31,451</td>
<td>31,451</td>
<td>24,977</td>
<td>6,474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Financial Administration</td>
<td>180,174</td>
<td>211,827</td>
<td>196,234</td>
<td>15,593</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>48,200</td>
<td>48,200</td>
<td>66,099</td>
<td>(17,899)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND  
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance  
Budget to Actual - General Fund

For the year ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Final Appropriated Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (Negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Zoning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>49,712</td>
<td>49,712</td>
<td>49,903</td>
<td>(191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>24,819</td>
<td>24,819</td>
<td>23,257</td>
<td>1,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>74,531</td>
<td>74,531</td>
<td>73,160</td>
<td>1,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>21,151</td>
<td>21,151</td>
<td>12,972</td>
<td>8,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planning and Zoning</td>
<td>95,682</td>
<td>95,682</td>
<td>86,132</td>
<td>9,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>107,018</td>
<td>107,018</td>
<td>102,458</td>
<td>4,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Municipal Buildings</td>
<td>107,018</td>
<td>107,018</td>
<td>102,458</td>
<td>4,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>41,863</td>
<td>41,863</td>
<td>33,840</td>
<td>8,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>15,057</td>
<td>15,057</td>
<td>18,320</td>
<td>(3,263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>56,920</td>
<td>56,920</td>
<td>52,160</td>
<td>4,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>65,950</td>
<td>65,950</td>
<td>51,551</td>
<td>14,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital outlay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IT Department</td>
<td>122,870</td>
<td>132,870</td>
<td>113,011</td>
<td>19,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total General Services</td>
<td>229,888</td>
<td>239,888</td>
<td>215,469</td>
<td>24,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total General Government</td>
<td>654,251</td>
<td>695,904</td>
<td>687,976</td>
<td>7,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>795,268</td>
<td>795,268</td>
<td>794,191</td>
<td>1,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>374,117</td>
<td>374,117</td>
<td>373,802</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>1,169,385</td>
<td>1,169,385</td>
<td>1,167,993</td>
<td>1,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>286,082</td>
<td>286,082</td>
<td>201,853</td>
<td>84,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital outlay</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>93,717</td>
<td>157,784</td>
<td>(64,067)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Safety</td>
<td>1,520,467</td>
<td>1,549,184</td>
<td>1,527,630</td>
<td>21,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highways and Streets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>91,464</td>
<td>91,464</td>
<td>225,998</td>
<td>(134,534)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>34,282</td>
<td>34,282</td>
<td>49,816</td>
<td>(15,534)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>125,746</td>
<td>125,746</td>
<td>275,814</td>
<td>(150,068)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>287,072</td>
<td>287,072</td>
<td>233,849</td>
<td>53,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital outlay</td>
<td>209,500</td>
<td>164,500</td>
<td>209,736</td>
<td>(45,236)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Highways and Streets</td>
<td>622,318</td>
<td>577,318</td>
<td>719,399</td>
<td>(142,081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste collection</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>423,101</td>
<td>26,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Works</td>
<td>1,072,318</td>
<td>1,027,318</td>
<td>1,142,500</td>
<td>(115,182)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND  
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance  
Budget to Actual - General Fund

For the year ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Final Appropriated Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (Negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Recreation, and Culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>89,769</td>
<td>89,769</td>
<td>65,642</td>
<td>24,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>28,233</td>
<td>28,233</td>
<td>34,857</td>
<td>(6,624)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>118,002</td>
<td>118,002</td>
<td>100,499</td>
<td>17,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>139,745</td>
<td>139,745</td>
<td>132,061</td>
<td>7,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital outlay</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>193,800</td>
<td>144,308</td>
<td>49,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Parks, Recreation, and Culture</td>
<td>407,747</td>
<td>451,547</td>
<td>376,868</td>
<td>74,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>48,022</td>
<td>48,022</td>
<td>48,206</td>
<td>(184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe benefits</td>
<td>28,380</td>
<td>28,380</td>
<td>30,685</td>
<td>(2,305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total salaries and fringe benefits</td>
<td>76,402</td>
<td>76,402</td>
<td>78,891</td>
<td>(2,489)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating</td>
<td>43,806</td>
<td>43,806</td>
<td>65,027</td>
<td>(21,221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Economic Development</td>
<td>120,208</td>
<td>120,208</td>
<td>143,918</td>
<td>(23,710)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal payments</td>
<td>109,119</td>
<td>109,119</td>
<td>109,119</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest payments</td>
<td>51,245</td>
<td>51,245</td>
<td>51,222</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt Service</td>
<td>160,364</td>
<td>160,364</td>
<td>160,341</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures</td>
<td>3,935,355</td>
<td>4,004,525</td>
<td>4,039,233</td>
<td>(34,708)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over (under) Expenditures

(298,289) (398,959) (143,590) 255,369

Other Financing Sources (Uses)

Budgeted use of fund balance 298,289 398,959 - (398,959)

Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) 298,289 398,959 - (398,959)

Net change in fund balance $ - $ - $ (143,590) $ (143,590)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.
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### CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND

#### Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2017</th>
<th>Employees' Pension System</th>
<th>Law Enforcement Officers' Pension System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City's proportion of the net pension liability</td>
<td>0.003276261%</td>
<td>0.008568465%</td>
<td>0.011844727%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City's proportionate share of the net pension liability</td>
<td>$773,002</td>
<td>$2,021,646</td>
<td>$2,794,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>$897,386</td>
<td>$697,193</td>
<td>$1,594,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City's proportionate share of net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>86.14%</td>
<td>289.97%</td>
<td>175.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a % of total pension liability</td>
<td>65.79%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND

#### Schedule of Contributions and Related Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2017</th>
<th>Employees' Pension System</th>
<th>Law Enforcement Officers' Pension System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contractually required contribution</td>
<td>$64,487</td>
<td>$169,718</td>
<td>$234,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual contribution</td>
<td>$(64,487)</td>
<td>$(169,718)</td>
<td>$(234,205)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution deficiency (excess)</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>$897,386</td>
<td>$697,193</td>
<td>$1,594,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>7.19%</td>
<td>24.34%</td>
<td>14.69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.
CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2016</th>
<th>Employees' Pension System</th>
<th>Law Enforcement Officers' Pension System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City's proportion of the net pension liability</td>
<td>0.003576500%</td>
<td>0.007446398%</td>
<td>0.011022898%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City's proportionate share of the net pension liability</td>
<td>$743,258</td>
<td>$1,547,489</td>
<td>$2,290,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>$864,298</td>
<td>$689,996</td>
<td>$1,554,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City's proportionate share of net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>86.00%</td>
<td>224.28%</td>
<td>147.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a % of total pension liability</td>
<td>68.78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
Schedule of Contributions and Related Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2016</th>
<th>Employees' Pension System</th>
<th>Law Enforcement Officers' Pension System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contractually required contribution</td>
<td>$63,824</td>
<td>$166,921</td>
<td>$230,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual contribution</td>
<td>(63,824)</td>
<td>(166,921)</td>
<td>(230,745)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution deficiency (excess)</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>$864,298</td>
<td>$689,996</td>
<td>$1,554,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>7.38%</td>
<td>24.19%</td>
<td>14.85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.
CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2015</th>
<th>Employees' Pension System</th>
<th>Officers' Pension System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City's proportion of the net pension liability</td>
<td>0.002822655%</td>
<td>0.006524311%</td>
<td>0.009346966%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City's proportionate share of the net pension liability</td>
<td>$500,929</td>
<td>$1,157,852</td>
<td>$1,658,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>$831,293</td>
<td>$653,911</td>
<td>$1,485,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City's proportionate share of net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>60.26%</td>
<td>177.07%</td>
<td>111.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a % of total pension liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71.87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY OF TANEYTOWN, MARYLAND
Schedule of Contributions and Related Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For the year ended June 30, 2015</th>
<th>Employees' Pension System</th>
<th>Officers' Pension System</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contractually required contribution</td>
<td>$75,008</td>
<td>$156,950</td>
<td>$231,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual contribution</td>
<td>(75,008)</td>
<td>(156,950)</td>
<td>(231,958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution deficiency (excess)</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>$831,293</td>
<td>$653,911</td>
<td>$1,485,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>9.02%</td>
<td>24.00%</td>
<td>15.62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED
ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Mayor and City Council
City of Taneytown, Maryland

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, and each major fund of the City of Taneytown, Maryland, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 9, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.
We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses that we consider to be significant deficiencies, see Finding 2017-001 and Finding 2017-002.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Taneytown, Maryland’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

City of Taneytown, Maryland’s Response to Findings

City of Taneytown, Maryland’s response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. City of Taneytown, Maryland’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

DeLeon & Stang
DeLeon and Stang, CPAs and Advisors
Frederick, Maryland
January 9, 2018
Finding 2017-001: Internal Controls over Payroll

Criteria: Per the City’s process narrative on payroll procedures, it is the City’s policy for the “Register Prior to Processing” report, the “Preprocess Labor” report, and timesheets to be given to the City Manager for review. The City Manager is responsible for reviewing these reports to ensure that the amounts entered agreed to the timesheets provided. This review is indicated by the City Manager’s initials on the final page of the report.

Condition: During our payroll testing, we noted that the “Preprocess Labor” report was not initialed by the City Manager for the entire first quarter of fiscal year 2017.

The payroll periods selected for testing in the subsequent quarters of the fiscal year, were reviewed by the City Manager as indicated by his initials on the “Preprocess Labor” report.

Effect: Without the review of the City Manager, it is possible that timesheets could be misstated and/or the payroll information entered into KTBS Payroll would not match the actual time attestation by the individual employees.

Cause: Per inquiry, there was a change in responsibility for timesheet review late in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2016, which may have caused the lapse in timesheet review for the beginning of fiscal year 2017.

Recommendation: We recommend that management follow its stated policies regarding the review of the payroll reports and employee timesheets to ensure payroll costs are accurately reported.

Response: After the first quarter, during which responsibilities were changed, review and approval procedures have been and are currently being followed.
Finding 2017-002: Internal Controls over Bank Reconciliations

Criteria: The City’s process over bank reconciliations states, “Bank reconciliations including review of bank statement balances and general ledger report balances are being performed monthly by the Treasurer and reviewed by the Treasurer, who is also an authorized check signer.”

Condition: The procedures as currently designed do not allow for the proper segregation of duties over bank reconciliations.

Effect: Without an additional review of the bank reconciliations, the City is inherently at risk for the bank reconciliations to be misstated.

Cause: The City had previously hired the accounting firm Rager, Lehman, and Houck to provide review and oversight of certain accounting functions, including bank reconciliations. The City disengaged their service as of July 1, 2016.

Recommendation: We strongly recommend that the City implement a policy where the bank reconciliations are prepared and reviewed by two separate employees. The City needs to ensure that there is proper segregation of duties and authorized check signers are not solely in control of the preparation and review of bank reconciliations.

Response: We are developing a procedure and checklist so that the City Manager can review and approve completed bank reconciliations as soon as they are available. We expect to have the checklist implemented by February 1, 2018.
Finding 2016-001: Allocation of costs

Criteria: When allocating costs to various City activities and departments, budget estimates do not qualify as support for charges. Budget estimates may be used for interim financial reporting but there must be a process in place to validate that the final costs are fairly representative of the actual level of effort.

Condition: During fiscal year 2016, we noted that the City allocated personnel costs based on the percentages in the adopted budget rather than the employee’s actual level of effort.

Effect: During the audit, management recalculated the applicable salary and fringe costs based on the labor distribution report. For the year ended June 30, 2016, an audit adjustment was made to move $307,601 of costs from the general fund to the utility fund.

Cause: Using the budget as a basis of allocation was believed to be a fair representation of costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that management implement a process where employee’s time is recorded to the various departments based on their level of effort. Without changes to the current approach of recording personnel costs, there is the risk of material misstatement, as noted above.

Response: Management will redesign our time sheets to reflect the allocation of costs for both management and hourly. Management will instruct on the need to keep a weekly accounting of time. In most cases it is difficult to account on a daily basis. This will be implemented within 60 days.

Status: Hourly employees report actual time per department. Management is developing an exception time log for salary employees to capture any significant amount of time not conforming to current allocations which will be reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary. We expect to have the exception time log implemented by March 1, 2018.